

## Overlap fluctuations from the Boltzmann random overlap structure

Adriano Barra and Luca De Sanctis

Citation: *J. Math. Phys.* **47**, 103305 (2006); doi: 10.1063/1.2357995

View online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2357995>

View Table of Contents: <http://jmp.aip.org/resource/1/JMAPAQ/v47/i10>

Published by the [American Institute of Physics](#).

---

### Related Articles

Uncertainty quantification for Markov chain models  
*Chaos* **22**, 043102 (2012)

Robustness of random graphs based on graph spectra  
*Chaos* **22**, 043101 (2012)

Note: On the power spectrum of undulations of simulated bilayers  
*J. Chem. Phys.* **137**, 116102 (2012)

Note: On the power spectrum of undulations of simulated bilayers  
*JCP: BioChem. Phys.* **6**, 09B801 (2012)

Exact solutions for isotropic random flights in odd dimensions  
*J. Math. Phys.* **53**, 103504 (2012)

---

### Additional information on *J. Math. Phys.*


Journal Homepage: <http://jmp.aip.org/>

Journal Information: [http://jmp.aip.org/about/about\\_the\\_journal](http://jmp.aip.org/about/about_the_journal)

Top downloads: [http://jmp.aip.org/features/most\\_downloaded](http://jmp.aip.org/features/most_downloaded)

Information for Authors: <http://jmp.aip.org/authors>

## ADVERTISEMENT



The most comprehensive support for physics in any mathematical software package  
World-leading tools for performing calculations in theoretical physics

**Maple 16**  
The Essential Tool for Mathematics and Modeling  
[www.maplesoft.com/physics](http://www.maplesoft.com/physics)

- Your work in Maple matches how you would write the problems and solutions by hand
- State-of-the-art environment for algebraic computations in physics
- The only system with the ability to handle a wide range of physics computations as well as pencil-and-paper style input and textbook-quality display of results
- Access to Maple's full mathematical power, programming language, visualization routines, and document creation tools

*InertiaTensor* :=  $\sum_{k=1}^n m_k \left( \|\vec{r}_k\|^2 \right)$   
 $\Gamma_{2,2}^1 = \frac{(1 + 2)}{\partial r}$

## Overlap fluctuations from the Boltzmann random overlap structure

Adriano Barra<sup>a)</sup>

King's College London, Department of Mathematics, Strand, London WC2R 2LS,  
United Kingdom, and Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma "La Sapienza"  
Piazzale Aldo Moro 2, 00185 Roma, Italy

Luca De Sanctis<sup>b)</sup>

ICTP, Strada Costiera 11, 34014 Trieste, Italy

(Received 9 May 2006; accepted 5 September 2006; published online 31 October 2006)

We investigate overlap fluctuations of the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick mean field spin glass model in the framework of the Random Overlap Structure (ROSt). The concept of ROSt has been introduced recently by Aizenman and co-workers, who developed a variational approach to the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model. Here we propose an iterative procedure to show that, in the so-called Boltzmann ROSt, Aizenman-Contucci polynomials naturally arise for almost all values of the inverse temperature (not in average over some interval only). These polynomials impose restrictions on the overlap fluctuations in agreement with Parisi theory. © 2006 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2357995]

### I. INTRODUCTION

The study of mean field spin glasses has been very challenging from both a physical and a mathematical point of view. It took several years after the main model (the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick, or simply SK) was introduced before Giorgio Parisi was able to compute the free energy so ingeniously (Ref. 12 and references therein), and it took much longer still until a fully rigorous proof of Parisi's formula was found.<sup>11,14</sup> Parisi went beyond the solution for the free energy and gave an Ansatz about the pure states of the model as well, prescribing the so-called ultrametric or hierarchical organization of the phases (Ref. 12 and references therein). From a rigorous point of view, the closest the community could get so far to ultrametricity are identities constraining the probability distribution of the *overlaps*, namely, the Aizenman-Contucci (AC) and the Ghirlanda-Guerra identities (see Refs. 1 and 9, respectively). For further reading, we refer to Refs. 6, 7, and 13, but also to the general references.<sup>5,15</sup> Most of the few important rigorous results about mean field spin glasses can be elegantly summarized within a powerful and physically profound approach introduced recently by Aizenman *et al.* in Ref. 2. We want to show here that in this framework the AC identities can be deduced too. This is achieved by studying a stochastic stability of some kind, similarly to what is discussed in Ref. 6, inside the environment (the *Random Overlap Structure*) suggested in Ref. 2, and taking into account also the intensive nature of the internal energy density. A central point of the treatment is a power series expansion similar to the one performed in Ref. 3.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we introduce the concept of Random Overlap Structure (henceforth ROSt), and use it to state the related Extended Variational Principle. In Sec. III we present the main results regarding the ac identities and similar families of relations. Section IV is left for a few concluding remarks.

<sup>a)</sup>Electronic mail: Adriano\_Barra@roma1.infn.it

<sup>b)</sup>Electronic mail: lde\_sanc@ictp.it

## II. MODEL, NOTATIONS, PREVIOUS BASIC RESULTS

The Hamiltonian of the SK model is defined on Ising spin configurations  $\sigma: i \rightarrow \sigma_i = \pm 1$  of  $N$  spins, labeled by  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , as

$$H_N(\sigma; J) = - \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i < j}^{1, N} J_{ij} \sigma_i \sigma_j$$

where  $J_{ij}$  are i.i.d. centered unit Gaussian random variables. We will assume there is no external field. Being a centered Gaussian variable, the Hamiltonian is determined by its covariance,

$$\mathbb{E}[H_N(\sigma)H_N(\sigma')] = \frac{1}{2} N q_{\sigma\sigma'}$$

where

$$q_{\sigma\sigma'} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_i \sigma'_i$$

is the overlap, and here  $\mathbb{E}$  denotes the expectation with respect to all the (quenched) Gaussian variables.

The partition function  $Z_N(\beta)$ , the quenched free energy density  $f_N(\beta)$ , and pressure  $\alpha_N(\beta)$  are defined as

$$Z_N(\beta) = \sum_{\sigma} \exp(-\beta H_N(\sigma)),$$

$$-\beta f_N(\beta) = \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \ln Z_N(\beta) = \alpha_N(\beta).$$

The Boltzmann-Gibbs average of an observable  $\mathcal{O}(\sigma)$  is denoted by  $\omega$  and defined as

$$\omega(\mathcal{O}) = Z_N(\beta)^{-1} \sum_{\sigma} \mathcal{O}(\sigma) \exp(-\beta H_N(\sigma)),$$

but we will use the same  $\omega$  to indicate, in general (weighted) sums over spins or nonquenched variables, to be specified when needed, and with  $\Omega$  we will mean the product (*replica*) measure of the needed number of copies of  $\omega$ .

Let us now introduce an auxiliary system.

*Definition 1:* A Random Overlap Structure  $\mathcal{R}$  is a triple  $(\Sigma, \tilde{q}, \xi)$ , where

- $\Sigma \ni \gamma$  is a discrete space (set of abstract spin configurations);
- $\tilde{q}: \Sigma^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a positive definite kernel (overlap kernel), with  $|\tilde{q}| \leq 1$  (and  $\tilde{q} = 1$  on the diagonal of  $\Sigma^2$ );
- $\xi: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a normalized discrete positive random measure, i.e., a system of random weights such that there is a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $[0, 1]^{\Sigma}$  so that  $\sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma} \xi_{\gamma} < \infty$  almost surely in the  $\mu$  sense.

The randomness in the weights  $\xi$  is independent of the randomness of the quenched variables from the original system with spins  $\sigma$ . We equip a ROST with two families of independent and centered Gaussians  $\tilde{h}_i$  and  $\hat{H}$  with covariances

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{h}_i(\gamma)\tilde{h}_j(\gamma')] = \delta_{ij}\tilde{q}_{\gamma\gamma'}, \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{H}(\gamma)\hat{H}(\gamma')] = \tilde{q}_{\gamma\gamma'}^2. \quad (2)$$

Given a ROST  $\mathcal{R}$ , we define the trial pressure as

$$G_N(\mathcal{R}) = \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \ln \frac{\sum_{\sigma, \gamma} \xi_\gamma \exp\left(-\beta \sum_{i=1}^N \tilde{h}_i(\gamma) \sigma_i\right)}{\sum_{\gamma} \xi_\gamma \exp\left(-\beta \sqrt{N/2} \hat{H}(\gamma)\right)}, \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathbb{E}$  denotes hereafter the expectation with respect to all the (quenched) random variables (including the randomness in the random weights  $\xi$ ) but spins  $\sigma$  and the abstract spins  $\gamma$ , the sum over which is, in fact, written explicitly.

The following theorem (Ref. 2) can be easily proven by interpolation.

**Theorem 1 (Extended Variational Principle):** *Infimizing for each  $N$  separately the trial function  $G_N(\mathcal{R})$  (defined in (3)) over the whole ROST space, the resulting sequence tends to the limiting pressure  $-\beta f(\beta)$  of the SK model as  $N$  tends to infinity,*

$$\alpha(\beta) \equiv \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_N(\beta) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\mathcal{R}} G_N(\mathcal{R}).$$

For a given ROST and a given inverse temperature  $\beta$ , the trial pressures  $\{G_N\}$  are a well defined sequence of real numbers indexed by  $N$ ; a ROST  $\mathcal{R}$  is said to be optimal if  $\alpha \equiv \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_N(\beta) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} G_N(\mathcal{R})$  for all  $\beta$ .

An optimal ROST is the Parisi one (Refs. 12 and 14), another optimal one is the so-called Boltzmann ROST  $\mathcal{R}_B$ , defined as follows. Take  $\Sigma = \{-1, 1\}^M$ , and denote by  $\tau$  the points of  $\Sigma$ . We clearly have in mind an auxiliary spin system (and that is why we use  $\tau$  as opposed to the previous  $\gamma$  to denote its points). In fact, we also choose

$$\tilde{h}_i = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_{k=1}^M \tilde{J}_{ik} \tau_k, \quad \hat{H} = -\frac{1}{M} \sum_{k,l}^{1,M} \hat{J}_{kl} \tau_k \tau_l,$$

which satisfy (1) and (2) with  $\tilde{q}_{\tau\tau'} = (1/M) \sum_k \tau_k \tau'_k$ , and  $\tilde{J}$  and  $\hat{J}$  are families of i.i.d. random variables independent of the original couplings  $J$ , with whom they share the same distribution (i.e., all the  $\tilde{J}$  and  $\hat{J}$  are centered unit Gaussian random variables). The variables  $\tilde{h}_i$  are called *cavity fields*. Let us also choose

$$\xi_\tau = \exp(-\beta H_M(\tau; \hat{J})) = \exp\left(\beta \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_{k,l}^{1,M} \hat{J}_{kl} \tau_k \tau_l\right).$$

If we call  $\mathcal{R}_B(M)$  the structure defined above, we will formally write  $\mathcal{R}_B(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_B$  as  $M \rightarrow \infty$ , and we call  $\mathcal{R}_B$  the Boltzmann ROST. The reason why such a ROST is optimal is purely thermodynamic, and equivalent to the existence of the thermodynamic limit of the free energy per spin. A detailed proof of this fact can be found in Ref. 2; here we just mention the main point:

$$\alpha(\beta) = \mathbf{C} \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \ln \frac{Z_{N+M}}{Z_M} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{C} \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} G_N(\mathcal{R}_B(M)) = G_N(\mathcal{R}_B) = G(\mathcal{R}_B),$$

where  $\mathbf{C} \lim$  is the limit in the Cesàro sense. Notice that the Boltzmann ROST does not depend on  $N$ , after the  $M$  limit.

### III. ANALYSIS OF THE BOLTZMANN ROST

In this section we show that in the optimal Boltzmann ROST's the overlap fluctuations obey some restrictions, namely, those found by Aizenman and Contucci in Ref. 1. In other words, we exhibit a recipe to generate the ac polynomials within the ROST approach.

### A. The internal energy term

Let us focus on the denominator of the trial pressure  $G(\mathcal{R}_B)$ , defined in (3), computed at the Boltzmann ROSt  $\mathcal{R}_B$ , defined in the previous section. Let us normalize this quantity by dividing by  $Z_N$  and weight  $\hat{H}$  with an independent variable  $\beta'$  as opposed to  $\beta$ , which appears in the *Boltzmannfaktor*  $\xi_\tau$ . As in the Boltzmann structure we have actual spins ( $\tau$ ) and we do not use the spins  $\sigma$  here; we will still use  $\omega$  (or  $\Omega$ ) to denote the Boltzmann-Gibbs (replica) measure (at inverse temperature  $\beta$ ) in the space  $\Sigma = \{-1, 1\}^M$ . Moreover, we will use the notation  $\langle \cdot \rangle = \mathbb{E}\Omega(\cdot)$  and, if present, a subscript  $\beta$  recalls that the *Boltzmannfaktor* in  $\Omega$  has inverse temperature  $\beta$ . More precisely, we are computing the left hand side of the next equality to get this.

**Lemma 1:**

$$\frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \ln \Omega \exp\left(-\beta' \sqrt{\frac{N}{2}} \hat{H}(\tau)\right) = \frac{\beta'^2}{4} (1 - \langle \tilde{q}^2 \rangle_\beta). \quad (4)$$

Similar calculations have been performed already, but in this specific context the result has been only stated without proof in Ref. 10, while a detailed proof is given only in the dilute case in Ref. 8. So let us prove the lemma. Let us take  $M$  finite. Thanks to the property of the addition of independent Gaussian variables, the left hand side of (4) is the same as

$$\frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \ln \frac{Z_M(\beta^*)}{Z_M(\beta)} = \frac{M}{N} (\alpha_M(\beta^*) - \alpha_M(\beta)), \quad \beta^* = \sqrt{\beta^2 + \frac{\beta'^2 N}{M}},$$

which, in turn, thanks to the convexity of  $\alpha$ , can be estimated as follows:

$$\frac{M}{N} (\beta^* - \beta) \alpha'_M(\beta) \leq \frac{M}{N} (\alpha_M(\beta^*) - \alpha_M(\beta)) \leq \frac{M}{N} (\beta^* - \beta) \alpha'_M(\beta^*).$$

Now

$$\frac{M}{N} (\beta^* - \beta) = \frac{\beta'^2}{2\beta} + o\left(\frac{1}{M}\right), \quad \alpha'(\beta) = \frac{\beta}{2} (1 - \langle \tilde{q}^2 \rangle_\beta).$$

Therefore, when  $M \rightarrow \infty$ , we get (4) for almost all  $\beta$ , i.e., whenever  $\alpha'(\beta^*) \rightarrow \alpha'(\beta)$ , or, equivalently, whenever  $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\beta^*} \rightarrow \langle \cdot \rangle_\beta$ . Notice that the quantity in (4) does not depend on  $N$ .<sup>8,10</sup>

**Theorem 2:** *The following statements hold:*

- *The left hand side of (4) is intensive (does not depend on  $N$ );*
- *The left hand side of (4) is a monomial of order two in  $\beta'$ ;*
- *The Aizenman-Contucci identities hold.*

*Proof:* Recall that  $\hat{H}$  is a centered Gaussian, and so is therefore  $-\hat{H}$ , and the Gibbs measure is such that the substitution  $\hat{H} \rightarrow \hat{H} - \hat{H}'$  implies

$$\frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \ln \Omega \exp\left(-\beta' \sqrt{\frac{N}{2}} \hat{H}\right) = \frac{1}{2N} \mathbb{E} \ln \Omega \exp\left(-\beta' \sqrt{\frac{N}{2}} (\hat{H} - \hat{H}')\right).$$

Expand now in powers of  $\beta'$  the exponential first and then the logarithm:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \ln \Omega \exp\left(-\beta' \sqrt{\frac{N}{2}} \hat{H}\right) &= \frac{\beta'^2}{4} (1 - \langle \tilde{q}^2 \rangle) \\
&= \frac{1}{2N} \mathbb{E} \ln \Omega \left( 1 + \frac{\beta'^2 N}{2} (\hat{H} - \hat{H}')^2 + \frac{\beta'^4 N^2}{4!} (\hat{H} - \hat{H}')^4 + \dots \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2N} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{N\beta'^2}{4} (2\Omega(\hat{H}^2) - 2\Omega^2(\hat{H})) \right) \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{N^2 \beta'^4}{4 \cdot 4!} [2\Omega(\hat{H}^4) - 8\Omega(\hat{H})\Omega(\hat{H}^3) + 6\Omega^2(\hat{H}^2)] \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{N^2 \beta'^4}{2 \cdot 4} [\Omega^2(\hat{H}^2) + \Omega^4(\hat{H}) - 2\Omega(\hat{H}^2)\Omega^2(\hat{H})] + \dots \right].
\end{aligned}$$

A straightforward calculation yields

$$\mathbb{E}\Omega(\hat{H}^4) = 3, \quad \mathbb{E}[\Omega(\hat{H}^3)\Omega(\hat{H})] = 3\langle \tilde{q}_{12}^2 \rangle, \quad \mathbb{E}\Omega^2(\hat{H}^2) = 1 + 2\langle \tilde{q}_{12}^4 \rangle,$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\Omega(\hat{H}^2)\Omega^2(\hat{H})] = \langle \tilde{q}_{12}^2 \rangle + 2\langle \tilde{q}_{12}^2 \tilde{q}_{13}^2 \rangle, \quad \mathbb{E}\Omega^4(\hat{H}) = 3\langle \tilde{q}_{12}^2 \tilde{q}_{34}^2 \rangle,$$

and so on. All quantities of this sort can be computed in the same way. As an example, let us calculate  $\mathbb{E}[\Omega(\hat{H}^2)\Omega^2(\hat{H})] = \mathbb{E}[\omega(\hat{H}_1)\omega(\hat{H}_2)\omega(\hat{H}_3)]$ . Like, for overlaps, subscripts denote replicas. In order to evaluate the expectation of products of Gaussian variables, we can use Wick's theorem: we just count all the possible ways to contract the four Gaussian terms  $\hat{H}_1, \hat{H}_1, \hat{H}_2, \hat{H}_3$  and sum over every nonvanishing contribution,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \overbrace{\hat{H}_1 \hat{H}_2 \hat{H}_1 \hat{H}_3} \rangle &= \langle \tilde{q}_{12}^2 \tilde{q}_{23}^2 \rangle, \\
\langle \overbrace{\hat{H}_1 \hat{H}_1 \hat{H}_2 \hat{H}_3} \rangle &= \langle 1 \cdot \tilde{q}_{12}^2 \rangle, \\
\langle \overbrace{\hat{H}_1 \hat{H}_3 \hat{H}_1 \hat{H}_2} \rangle &= \langle \tilde{q}_{12}^2 \tilde{q}_{23}^2 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

The sum of all the terms gives the exactly  $\langle \tilde{q}_{12}^2 \rangle + 2\langle \tilde{q}_{12}^2 \tilde{q}_{23}^2 \rangle$ . Now Eq. (4) is therefore expressed in terms of an identity for all  $\beta'$  of two polynomials in  $\beta'$ : one is of order two; the other is a whole power series. We can then equate the coefficient of the same order, or equivalently put to zero all the terms of order higher than two in  $\beta'$ . The consequent equalities are exactly the Aizenman-Contucci ones (Ref. 1); an example of these is

$$\langle \tilde{q}_{12}^4 \rangle - 4\langle \tilde{q}_{12}^2 \tilde{q}_{13}^2 \rangle + 3\langle \tilde{q}_{12}^2 \tilde{q}_{34}^2 \rangle = 0,$$

which arises from the lowest order in the expansion above.  $\square$

## B. The entropy term

In the same spirit as in the previous section, let us move on to the normalized numerator of the trial pressure  $G(\mathcal{R}_B)$ , defined in (3), computed at the Boltzmann ROST  $\mathcal{R}_B$ , defined in the previous section. If we define

$$c_i = 2 \cosh(-\beta \tilde{h}_i) = \sum_{\sigma_i} \exp(-\beta \tilde{h}_i \sigma_i);$$

then

$$\frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \ln \Omega \sum_{\sigma} \exp \left( -\beta \sum_{i=1}^N \tilde{h}_i \sigma_i \right) = \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \ln \Omega (c_1 \cdots c_N) \tag{5}$$

does not depend on  $N$ ,<sup>8,10</sup> if we consider the infinite Boltzmann ROST, where  $M \rightarrow \infty$ .

Again, assume we replace the  $\beta$  in front of the cavity fields  $\tilde{h}$  (but not in the state  $\Omega$ ) with a parameter  $\sqrt{t}$ , and define, upon rescaling,

$$\Psi(t) = \mathbb{E} \ln \Omega \sum_{\sigma} \exp \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^N \tilde{h}_i \sigma_i. \tag{6}$$

We want to study the flux (in  $t$ ) of Eq. (6) to obtain an integrable expansion. The  $t$  flux of the cavity function  $\Psi$  is given by

$$\partial_t \Psi(t) = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \langle q_{12} \tilde{q}_{12} \rangle_t), \tag{7}$$

which is easily seen by means of a standard use of Gaussian integration by parts. The subscript in  $\langle \cdot \rangle_t = \mathbb{E} \Omega_t$  means that such an average includes the  $t$ -dependent exponential appearing in (6), beyond the sum over  $\sigma$ .

**Theorem 3:** *Let  $F_s$  be measurable with respect to the  $\sigma$  algebra generated by the overlaps of  $s$  replicas of  $\{\sigma\}$  and  $\{\tau\}$ . Then the cavity streaming equation is*

$$\partial_t \langle F_s \rangle_t = \left\langle F_s \left( \sum_{\gamma, \delta}^{1,s} q_{\gamma, \delta} \tilde{q}_{\gamma, \delta} - s \sum_{\gamma=1}^s q_{\gamma, s+1} \tilde{q}_{\gamma, s+1} + \frac{s(s+1)}{2} q_{s+1, s+2} \tilde{q}_{s+1, s+2} \right) \right\rangle_t. \tag{8}$$

*Proof:* We consider the Boltzmann ROST  $\mathcal{R}_B(M)$  with any value of  $M$ . The proof relies on the repeated application of the usual integration by parts formula for Gaussian variables:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \langle F_s \rangle_t &= \partial_t \mathbb{E} \frac{\sum_{\sigma \tau} F_s \exp(-\beta H_M(\tau)) \exp \left( \sqrt{\frac{t}{MN}} \sum_{ij} \sum_{\gamma} \tilde{J}_{ij} \tau_i^{\gamma} \sigma_j^{\gamma} \right)}{\sum_{\sigma \tau} \exp(-\beta H_M(\tau)) \exp \left( \sqrt{\frac{t}{MN}} \sum_{ij} \sum_{\gamma} \tilde{J}_{ij} \tau_i^{\gamma} \sigma_j^{\gamma} \right)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{tMN}} \mathbb{E} \sum_{ij} J_{ij} \sum_{\gamma} (\Omega_t[F_s \tau_i^{\gamma} \sigma_j^{\gamma}] - \Omega_t[F_s] \Omega_t[\tau_i^{\gamma} \sigma_j^{\gamma}]) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{tMN}} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} J_{ij} \left( \sum_{\gamma} \Omega_t[F_s \tau_i^{\gamma} \sigma_j^{\gamma}] - s \Omega_t[F_s] \omega[\tau_i \sigma_j] \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2MN} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{\gamma, \delta} \Omega_t[F_s \sigma_j^{\gamma} \tau_i^{\delta} \sigma_j^{\delta}] - \sum_{\gamma, \delta} \Omega_t[F_s \tau_i^{\gamma} \sigma_j^{\gamma}] \Omega_t[\tau_i^{\delta} \sigma_j^{\delta}] - s \omega_t[\tau_i \sigma_j] \sum_{\delta} (\Omega_t[F_s \tau_i^{\delta} \sigma_j^{\delta}] - \Omega_t[F_s] \Omega_t[\tau_i^{\delta} \sigma_j^{\delta}]) - s \Omega_t[F_s] (1 - \omega_t^2[\tau_i \sigma_j]) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{\gamma, \delta} \Omega_t[F_s q_{\gamma, \delta} \tilde{q}_{\gamma, \delta}] - s \sum_{\gamma} \Omega_t[F_s q_{\gamma, s+1} \tilde{q}_{\gamma, s+1}] + ss \Omega_t[F_s q_{s+1, s+2} \tilde{q}_{s+1, s+2}] - s \Omega_t[F_s] \Omega_t[F_s q_{s+1, s+2} \tilde{q}_{s+1, s+2}] \right), \end{aligned}$$

where in  $\Omega_t$  we have included the sum over  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ , the Boltzmann factor in  $\tau$ , and the  $t$ -dependent exponential. At this point, remembering that  $\tilde{q}_{\gamma\gamma} = 1$ , we can write



$$\sum_{\gamma,\delta} \Omega_t[F_s q_{\gamma\delta} \tilde{q}_{\gamma\delta}] = 2 \sum_{\gamma,\delta} \Omega_t[F_s q_{\gamma\delta} \tilde{q}_{\gamma\delta}] + s \Omega_t[F_s]$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

Now the way to proceed is simple: we have to expand the  $t$  derivative of  $\Psi(t)$  [the right hand side of (7)] using the cavity streaming equation (8), and we will stop the iteration at the first nontrivial order (that is expected to be at least four, being the first ac relation of that order). Once a closed-form expression is in our hands, we can write down an order by order expansion of the (modified) denominator of the Boltzmann ROST (that is, the function  $N^{-1}\psi(t)$  evaluated for  $t = N\beta^2$ ).

We have

$$\partial_t \langle q_{12} \tilde{q}_{12} \rangle_t = \langle q_{12}^2 \tilde{q}_{12}^2 - 4q_{12} \tilde{q}_{12} q_{23} \tilde{q}_{23} + 3q_{12} \tilde{q}_{12} q_{34} \tilde{q}_{34} \rangle_t.$$

After the first iteration:

$$\partial_t \langle q_{12}^2 \tilde{q}_{12}^2 \rangle_t = \langle q_{12}^3 \tilde{q}_{12}^3 - 4q_{12}^2 \tilde{q}_{12}^2 \tilde{q}_{23} p_{23} + 3q_{12}^2 \tilde{q}_{12}^2 \tilde{q}_{34} \rangle_t,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \langle \tilde{q}_{12} q_{12} \tilde{q}_{23} q_{23} \rangle_t &= \langle \tilde{q}_{12} q_{12} \tilde{q}_{23} q_{23} \tilde{q}_{13} q_{13} + 2\tilde{q}_{12}^2 q_{12}^2 \tilde{q}_{23} q_{23} - 6\tilde{q}_{12} q_{12} \tilde{q}_{23} q_{23} \tilde{q}_{34} q_{34} - 3\tilde{q}_{12} q_{12} \tilde{q}_{13} q_{13} \tilde{q}_{14} q_{14} \\ &+ 6\tilde{q}_{12} q_{12} \tilde{q}_{34} q_{34} \tilde{q}_{45} q_{45} \rangle_t, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \langle \tilde{q}_{12} q_{12} \tilde{q}_{34} q_{34} \rangle_t &= \langle 4\tilde{q}_{12} q_{12} \tilde{q}_{23} q_{23} \tilde{q}_{34} q_{34} + 2\tilde{q}_{12}^2 q_{12}^2 \tilde{q}_{34} q_{34} - 16\tilde{q}_{12} q_{12} \tilde{q}_{34} q_{34} \tilde{q}_{45} q_{45} \\ &+ 10\tilde{q}_{12} q_{12} \tilde{q}_{34} q_{34} \tilde{q}_{56} q_{56} \rangle_t. \end{aligned}$$

The higher orders can be obtained exactly in the same way, so we can write down right away the expression for  $\langle q_{12} \tilde{q}_{12} \rangle_t$ , referring to Refs. 1 and 3 for a detailed explanation of this iterative method:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q_{12} \tilde{q}_{12} \rangle_t &= \langle q_{12}^2 \tilde{q}_{12}^2 \rangle_t t - 2 \langle q_{12} \tilde{q}_{12} q_{23} \tilde{q}_{23} q_{13} \tilde{q}_{13} \rangle_t t^2 - \frac{1}{6} \langle q_{12}^4 \tilde{q}_{12}^4 \rangle_t t^3 - 2 \langle q_{12}^2 \tilde{q}_{12}^2 q_{23}^2 \tilde{q}_{23}^2 \rangle_t t^3 + \frac{3}{2} \langle q_{12}^2 \tilde{q}_{12}^2 q_{34}^2 \tilde{q}_{34}^2 \rangle_t t^3 \\ &+ 6 \langle q_{12} \tilde{q}_{12} q_{23} \tilde{q}_{23} q_{34} \tilde{q}_{34} q_{14} \tilde{q}_{14} \rangle_t t^3. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Notice that the averages no longer depend on  $t$ . In this expansion we considered both  $q$  overlaps and  $\tilde{q}$  overlaps, but as the sum over the spins  $\sigma$  can be performed explicitly, we can obtain an explicit expression at least for the  $q$  overlaps, and get

$$\langle q_{12}^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{N^2} \mathbb{E} \sum_{ij} \omega^2(\sigma_i \sigma_j) = \frac{1}{N},$$

$$\langle q_{12} q_{23} q_{31} \rangle = \frac{1}{N^3} \mathbb{E} \sum_{ijk} \omega(\sigma_i \sigma_j) \omega(\sigma_j \sigma_k) \omega(\sigma_k \sigma_i) = \frac{1}{N^2},$$

$$\langle q_{12}^2 q_{34}^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{N^4} \mathbb{E} \sum_{ijkl} \omega^2(\sigma_i \sigma_j) \omega^2(\sigma_k \sigma_l) = \frac{1}{N^2},$$

$$\langle q_{12} q_{23} q_{34} q_{14} \rangle = \frac{1}{N^4} \mathbb{E} \sum_{ijkl} \omega(\sigma_i \sigma_j) \omega(\sigma_j \sigma_k) \omega(\sigma_k \sigma_l) \omega(\sigma_l \sigma_i) = \frac{1}{N^3},$$

$$\langle q_{12}^4 \rangle = \frac{1}{N^4} \mathbb{E} \sum_{ijkl} \omega(\sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_k \sigma_l) \omega(\sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_k \sigma_l) = \frac{3(N-1)}{N^3} + \frac{1}{N^3},$$



$$\langle q_{12}^2 q_{23}^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{N^4} \mathbb{E} \sum_{ijkl} \omega(\sigma_i \sigma_j) \omega(\sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_k \sigma_l) \omega(\sigma_i \sigma_j) = \frac{1}{N^2}.$$

Moreover, as the  $q$  overlaps have been calculated explicitly, we can use a graphical formalism.<sup>1,3</sup> In such a formalism we use points to identify replicas and lines for the overlaps between them. So, for example,

$$\langle \longleftrightarrow \rangle = \langle \tilde{q}_{12} \rangle, \quad \langle \bigcirc \rangle = \langle \tilde{q}_{12}^2 \rangle, \quad \langle \triangle \rangle = \langle \tilde{q}_{12} \tilde{q}_{23} \tilde{q}_{13} \rangle$$

and so on. Now we can integrate (7) thanks to the polynomial expansion based on (9) and to the expressions for the  $q$  fluctuations. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t [1 - \langle q_{12} \tilde{q}_{12} \rangle_{t'}] dt', \\ \frac{1}{N} \Psi(t = N\beta^2) &= \frac{\beta^2}{2} - \langle \bigcirc \rangle \frac{\beta^4}{4} + \langle \triangle \rangle \frac{\beta^6}{3} - \langle \bigcirc \bigcirc \rangle \frac{\beta^8}{24} - \\ &\quad \langle \square \rangle \frac{3\beta^8}{4} + N\beta^8 \left[ \frac{1}{16} \langle \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \rangle - \frac{1}{4} \langle \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \rangle + \frac{3}{16} \langle \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \rangle \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

This expression, though truncated at this low order, already looks pretty much alike the expansion found using the internal energy part of the Boltzmann pressure.

We stress, however, two important features of expression (10). The first is that within this approach we do not have problems concerning the Replica Symmetry Ansatz (RS),<sup>12</sup> and this can be seen by the proliferating of the overlaps fluctuations, via which we expand the entropy (a RS theory does not allow such fluctuations). Second, we note that not all the terms inside the equations (10) are intensive: the last three graphs are all multiplied by a factor  $N$ . Recalling that this expansion does not depend on  $N$ , and physically a density is intensive by definition, we put to zero all the terms in the squared bracket, so to have

$$\langle \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \rangle - 4 \langle \bigcirc \bigcirc \rangle + 3 \langle \bigcirc \rangle = 0.$$

Again we can find the AC identities.

#### IV. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

We have shown how some constraints on the distribution of the overlap naturally arise within the Random Overlap Structure approach. As our analysis of the Boltzmann ROSt is similar to the study of stochastic stability, it is not surprising that the constraints coincide with the Aizenman-Contucci identities. In the ROSt context, such identities are easily connected with the existence of the thermodynamic limit of the free energy density (which is equivalent to the optimality of the Boltzmann ROSt) and with the physical fact that the internal energy is intensive. We also showed that, as expected, the entropy part of the free energy yields the same constraints as the other part (i.e., the internal energy).

The hope for the near future is that the ROSt approach will lead eventually to a good understanding of the pure states and the phase transitions of the model. A first step has been taken in Ref. 10, and our present results can be considered as a second step in this direction. (Other more interesting results regarding the phase transition at  $\beta=1$  can also be obtained with the same techniques employed here, including the graphical representation.<sup>4</sup>) A further step should bring the Ghirlanda-Guerra identities, and then hopefully a proof of ultrametricity.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors warmly thank Francesco Guerra and Pierluigi Contucci for precious scientific and personal support, and sincerely thank Peter Sollich for useful discussions and much advice.

- <sup>1</sup>Aizenman, M., and Contucci, P., "On the stability of the quenched state in mean field spin glass models," J. Stat. Phys. **92**, 765–783 (1998).
- <sup>2</sup>Aizenman, M., Sims, R., and Starr, S. L., "An extended variational principle for the SK spin-glass model," Phys. Rev. B **68**, 214403 (2003).
- <sup>3</sup>Barra, A., "Irreducible free energy expansion for mean field spin glass model, J. Stat. Phys. (in press).
- <sup>4</sup>Barra, A., and De Sanctis, L., in preparation.
- <sup>5</sup>Bovier, A., *Statistical Mechanics of Disordered Systems, A Mathematical Perspective* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006).
- <sup>6</sup>Contucci, P., and Giardinà, C., "Spin-glass stochastic stability: A rigorous proof," ArXiv: math-ph/0408002.
- <sup>7</sup>Contucci, P., and Giardinà, C., "The Ghirlanda-Guerra identities," ArXiv: math-ph/0505055v1.
- <sup>8</sup>De Sanctis, L., "Random multi-overlap structures and cavity fields in diluted spin glasses, J. Stat. Phys. **117**, 785–799 (2004).
- <sup>9</sup>Ghirlanda, S., and Guerra, F., "General properties of overlap distributions in disordered spin systems. Towards Parisi ultrametricity," J. Phys. A **31**, 9149–9155 (1998).
- <sup>10</sup>Guerra, F., "About the cavity fields in mean field spin glass models," ArXiv: cond-mat/0307673.
- <sup>11</sup>Guerra, F., "Broken replica symmetry bounds in the mean field spin glass model," Commun. Math. Phys. **233**, 1–12 (2003).
- <sup>12</sup>Mézard, M., Parisi, G., and Virasoro, M. A., *Spin Glass Theory and Beyond* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1987).
- <sup>13</sup>Parisi, G., "On the probabilistic formulation of the replica approach to spin glasses," Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **18**, 733–744 (2004).
- <sup>14</sup>Talagrand, M., "The Parisi formula," Ann. Math. **163**, 221–263 (2006).
- <sup>15</sup>Talagrand, M., *Spin Glasses: A Challenge For Mathematicians. Cavity and Mean Field Models* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003).